

# Update on the Diagnosis and Treatment of Popliteal Entrapment: Doppelgangers and other Misleading Diagnoses

Katherine A. Gallagher, MD, FACS Ira Doan Professor of Surgery Vice Chair of Research University of Michigan School of Medicine



### Popliteal Entrapment Syndrome -Anatomic/Functional/Confounders

- Diagnosis should be considered in the young athletic population without atherosclerotic risk factors
- **CRECS** looks very similar Closer diagnostically to CRECS than anatomic poplical entrapment
   Often associated with delay in
- diagnosis - can result in arterial damage due to
- extrinsic compression
- Has become more common in females







#### **Functional Popliteal Entrapment :** How is it Diagnosed?

- Diagnostic tests can be positive in a percentage of normal patients, so <u>symptoms</u> consistent with this diagnosis must be present No role for treatment of
  - asymptomatic disease
- FPAES versus CRECS is important
  - CRECS most common
  - Parasthesia more common with FPAES



رک







### Work-up/Diagnosis: MRA

- · Performed at baseline with active plantar flexion, but this can be difficult to hold for extended periods of time
- Often non-diagnostic, high false negative rate
- Type III/IV can be easily missed and functional PAES (Type VI) can be easily missed



et al., Skeletal Radiology, 2006



with forced plantar flexion







# **The Doppelgangers**

- Anterior Tibial artery compression
  - -Pain in anterior ankle/dorsum of foot
  - -High take-off

-Near

ankle/retinaculum



Ľ,



# **Doppelganger Diagnosis**

- · Symptoms related to angiosome
- Treatment is release of compressive structure interosseus, retinaculum, etc.



Ľ,



| Summary  |  |
|--|--|
| <ul> <li>Distinguish CRECS<br/>versus FPAES versus<br/>other/doppelganger</li> <li>Clinical relevance based<br/>on symptoms</li> <li>IVUS and other new<br/>imaging technologies,<br/>multidisciplinary care<br/>can aid in the diagnosis<br/>and treatment</li> </ul> |  |