Debranching aortic arch branches: when, how to do it, technical tips and avoiding pitfalls

# Bernardo C. Mendes MD fessor of Surgery



2024



#### WHY HYBRID ARCH REPAIR?

- Patients who would not tolerate an open repair
- Inadequate anatomy for endovascular repair
- Lack of access to technology
  - No fenestrated/branched arc
    No experience with arch PMB grafts
- Lack of technical expertise for complex open repair



MAYO CLINIC

#### EXTENT OF HYBRID REPAIR

- Zone 1/2 TEVAR / carotid subclavian bypass/transposition
- Zone o TEVAR and total cervical debranching
- Total arch debranching



#### **PREOPERATIVE CONSIDERATIONS**

- Careful anatomy review
  - Carotid bulb occlusive disease

  - Vertebral artery origin variationsSignificant ascending aortic calcifications
- Cerebral monitoring technique
- Appropriate access
   Typically large devices needed

  - Possible antegrade deployment via debranching graft



## MORBIDITY OF CAROTID-LSA BYPASS

- 112 patients treated at single center
- Early complications in 29%
  - Phrenic nerve palsy in 25%
    Recurrent laryngeal nerve in 5%
  - Axillary nerve in 2%
    Neck hematoma requiring exploration in 1%

Voigt et al. J Vasc Surg 2019

Primary patency 97% at 5-years (3 occlusions)



CAROTID-CAROTID-SUBCLAVIAN BYPASS 





### TOTAL ARCH DEBRANCHING

- Collaboration with CV surgery
- Normal or replaced segment of ascending aorta
- At least 2 cm of PLZ
- Poor distal targets for total endovascular repair can prove challenging in hybrid repair







## CONCLUSION

- Open aortic arch surgery remains the gold standard but this status is being challenged
- Hybrid techniques for repair of aortic arch pathology have a role in select patients and circumstances
- As experience develops with novel endovascular arch devices, the indication for open and hybrid repair is likely to be progressively more limited



