

Disclosu	res					
2015-2019	Scientific Adv. Board & Consultant, Proteon	Therapeutics, Inc.				
2016	Advisory Board, Humacyte, Inc.					
2017, 2018	Consultant, Merck Sharp & Dohme Corpora	tion				
2017, 2018	Consultant, Medtronic Corporation					
2018	Consultant, Semma Therapeutics					
2019, 2021-	2019, 2021-2024					
	Consultant, Laminate Medical Technologies					
2019 - 2025	2019 – 2025 Consultant (including joint research venture), Mitobridge, Inc.					
2020 - 2024	Consultant, Humacyte, Inc.					
BRIGHA	M HEALTH GHAM AND MEN'S HOSPITAL	<u> Mass</u> General Brigham				









Key Outcomes		👫 Humacyte
Primary Efficacy	Co-Primary Endpoints • Functional patency* at 6 months • Secondary patency** at 12 months	
Key Secondary Efficacy	<ul> <li>Duration of usability*** at 12 months</li> </ul>	
Safety	<ul> <li>Infections related to any HD access at 12</li> <li>Adverse events of special interest (AESI)</li> <li>HD access related interventions at 12 m</li> </ul>	2 months onths
"Functional Patency is dialysis with 2 needles for 275% of dialysis sessions "Secondary Patency at Month 12 is maintained if a patient achieved function ""Duration of Usability is defined as time from successful 2-needle cannulation	over a continuous 4-week period na patency at Month 6 and SA was not abandoned by Day 365 ion to abandonment	
BRIGHAM HEALTH BRIGHAM AND WOMEN'S HOSPITAL	HARVARD MEDICAL SCHOOL	<u> Mass General Brigham</u>

Baseline Characteris	👫 Humacyte						
Characteristic	ATEV (N=123)	AVF (N=119)					
Age, Mean (SD), Y	57.1 (13.61)	60.1 (13.06)					
Age > 65 years, N (%)	39 (31.7%)	42 (35.3%)					
HIGH RISK GRO	HIGH RISK GROUPS FOR AVF NON-MATURATION						
Female, N (%)	37 (30.1%)	33 (27.7%)					
Obese (BMI ≥ 30), N (%)	51 (41.5%)	42 (35.3%)					
Diabetes, N (%)	82 (66.7%)	83 (69.7%)					
≥ 2 Factors (Female, Diabetes, BMI ≥ 30)	48 (39.0%)	45 (37.8%)					
BRIGHAM HEALTH	HARVARD MEDICAL SCHOOL	<u> Mass General Brigham</u>					

	A	nalysis I	oy Time P	oint	Joint A	nalysis
Co-Primary Endpoints	ATEV (N=123)	AVF (N=119)	Relative Patency*	Exploratory P-Value	Relative Patency**	P-Value
Functional Patency at Month 6	81.3%	66.4%	1.22	0.0082	1 17	0.0071
Secondary Patency at Month 12	68.3%	62.2%	1.10	0.3184		0.0071
*Relative Patency is ATEV patency / AVF patency (eg. ** Joint relative patency is a model-based average of P-value is based on jointly modeling of 2 co-primary (	Relative Patency patency at Moni endpoints, explo	y at Month 6 is th 6 and Mont ratory p-value	81.6/66.4 = 1. h 12 (1.17 impl s for individual	22, 22% improvem les 17% average in l time point are fro	ent over AVF). iprovement over m Pearson's Chi-	AVF) square test

Benefits in	Patency	for H	ligh-Risk Sub	-Groups	👫 Humo	acyte
	AVF N	ATEV N		Relative Patency (95% CI)	P-value	
Diabetic	83	82	<b>⊷</b>	1.26 (1.08, 1.46)	0.0024	
Obese (BMI ≥ 30)	42	51		1.53 (1.21, 1.94)	0.0001	
Females	33	37		1.65 (1.28, 2.12)	<0.0001	
>=2 Factors	45	48	, <u> </u>	1.74 (1.36, 2.23)	<0.0001	
All Patients	119	123		1.17 (1.04, 1.32)	0.0071	
.1	Favors AVF		1.0 Relative Patency	Favors ATEV	5.0	
BRIGHAM HEAD BRIGHAM A WOMEN'S F	TH IND HOSPITAL	1	HARVARD MEDICAL SCHOOL		Mass General Bri	gham



	ATE	ATEV			/F	1
	Subjects n (%)	Events		Subjects n (%)	Events	
HD access-related Infections	11 (9.1)	12		12 (9.9)	14	
Blood stream	6 (5.0)	7		7 (5.8)	8	1
Local	5 (4.1)	5	1 [	5 (4.1)	6	
SA-related Infections	4 (3.3)	4		1 (0.8)	1	
Blood stream	2 (1.7)	2		0	0	
Local	2 (1.7)	2		1 (0.8)	1	]

Safety Outcomes: O	🕂 Humacyt				
Overview of	Treatment-Er	mergent Ac	dver	se Events	
	ATE	ATEV			
	Subjects n (%) (N=121)	Events		Subjects n (%) (N=121)	Events
Treatment-Emergent Adverse Events (TEAEs)	119 (98.3)	1211		117 (96.7)	828
Serious Adverse Events (SAEs)	99 (81.8)	391		74 (61.2)	215
Adverse Events of Special Interest			-		
Thrombosis	63 (52.1)	126	1	11 (9.1)	12
Stenosis	79 (65.3)	228	1	57 (47.1)	115
Pseudoaneurysm	18 (14.9)	22	1	4 (3.3)	4
Aneurysm	2 (1.7)	2	1	2 (1.7)	3
Rupture	0	0		2 (1.7)	2
Steal Syndrome	1 (0.8)	1	1	7 (5.8)	7
BRIGHAM HEALTH	HARVARD TEACHING	MEDICAL SCHOOL		Mass (	Seneral Brighan



	ATEV			AVF
	Subjects n (%)	Events N	Subjects n (%)	Events N
SA access related intervention	84 (69.4)	326	71 (58.7)	161
Angioplasty	73 (60.3)	169	50 (41.3)	82
Stent	33 (27.3)	46	10 (8.3)	11
Thrombectomy	56 (46.3)	96	2 (1.7)	2
Balloon Assisted Maturation	0	0	8 (6.6)	27
DRIL	0	0	1 (0.8)	1
Partial Removal/Excision	1 (0.8)	1	1 (0.8)	1
Surgical Revision	13 (10.7)	14	28 (23.1)	32

	Conclusions 🕂 Humacyte'
•	ATEV (Acellular Tissue Engineered Vessel) demonstrated improved outcomes vs AVFs in ESKD patients requiring HD access with respect to:           ATEV superior to AVF in terms of overall patency           ATEV superior to AVF in terms of duration of use
•	Greater benefits in patients with higher risk of AVF non-maturation Female, Obese, and Diabetic patients Benefit is even greater in patients with more than one AVF non-maturation risk factor
•	ATEV's safety profile vs AVF demonstrated: <ul> <li>Similar low incidence of infection</li> <li>Lower rates of ruptures or surgical revision procedures</li> <li>No need for interventions to support maturation</li> <li>More thrombosis and stenosis events requiring maintenance interventions; majority of cases were successfully resolved</li> </ul>
	BRIGHAM HEALTH WIGHAM AND WIGHAM AND WI



