







Why do U/S guided interventions?

- Good image quality
- Good stenosis and extravasation/hematoma quantification
- Simultaneous flow (Qa) measurement
- Easy to learn and use
- No radiation (ALARA)
- · No lead protection
- · No contrast agent
- · Cheap, but no reimbursement

Why do U/S guided Interventions? © Georg Thieme Verlag Stuttgart - New York Erste Erfahrungen mit der Dilatation von Dialyseshunts unter farbkodierter duplexsonographischer Kontrolle Fint experiences with dilatation of dialysis shunts with Colour-Coded duples sonography monitoring G. Witsenberg, M. Keliner, W. Kern, A. Dett, G. Schulz, A. Trusen, A. Tschammier, R. Götz¹, D. Halm Instants für Ricognodiagnentic Vorstand Frod. De. J. Alen) **Indedications Dimit (Orestan: Frod. De. K. Rochies) act Universalt Workburg Summary Purpose. Aim of the tudy-was to evaluate the technical sopects of colour coded duples sonography guided interventions of perpleval vessels. Methodes Course J. S. monthe 39 stenses of shunt veins in 24 patients were dilated guided by colour coded duples sonography. Results 13 stensess were dilated ductous complications. The blood flow volume was increased from 36.9 a 8 83.5 to 718.9 a 180.2 m/mm. In one case it was not possible to dilet the stenses because of a vescepans. Concludent P. Thy quided by volume reas increased from 36.9 a superficial vessels without a-ray exposure or contrast agent application.





Why do U/S guided interventions? X-ray-guided and ultrasound-guided percutaneous transluminal angioplasty to treat artenovenous ristula dysfunction in his ham district end 9° 10° 0, have a defined a study. Zame fize, later 2 mai. 4 ham district end 9° 10° 0, have a district end a study a state of the district values 2 mai. 4 ham district end 1177/1129728411033271 Results: After the propensity score matching, 73 matched pairs of cases were created with 34 pairs of autogenous arteriownous finalul cases and 39 pairs of prothetic arteriownous graft cases. Three was no significant difference between the k-ray guided and ultrasound-guided group, respectively, regarding the technical success rate (45% ve 9.17%, np. 0,003), clinical success rate (86% ve 9.17%, np. 0,003), and complications (103 vs 0.5%, np. 0,023), although the 6- and 12-month secondary patienty rates for the dialytic access between the two groups had significant difference (no logue), but was no significant difference in primary and secondary patienty curves between the two groups for significant difference in primary and secondary patienty curves between the two groups for significant difference in primary and secondary patienty curves between the two groups for significant difference in primary and secondary patienty curves between the two groups for significant difference in primary and secondary patienty curves between the two groups for significant differences in the significant difference in primary and secondary patienty curves between the two groups for significant differences in the significant difference in primary and secondary patienty curves between the two groups for some significant difference in primary and secondary patienty curves between the two groups for significant constraints and significant or the significant constraints. Conclusion:

Why do U/S guided interventions?

No RCTs

but....

• Do we need them here?

What is needed for U/S guided interventions?

- U/S machine
- · U/S experience
- · Transducer "holder"
- Willingness to use it

Who can do U/S guided interventions?

- Vascular (access) surgeon
- Interventional radiologist
- Interventional Nephrologist
- Resident/Fellow, Nurse, Vascular Tech

Where can we apply U/S guided interventions?

Wherever possible with U/S

From wrist (snuffbox) to cephalic arch/axillary

Both arteries, veins and grafts

When can we apply U/S guided interventions?

ALWAYS!!!!

- Access creation
- · Access intervention
- · Access revision
- Access surveillance

Access Sites to perform U/S-guided interventions?

- Trans-AVF/AVG
- · Trans-sidebranch
- Transradial/ulnar distal (art. and ven.)



PS 89.3 cm/s ED 42.6 cm/s MD 42.6 cm/s TAMAX 57.8 cm/s PI 0.52 TAMEAN 34.0 cm/s VolFlow 451.7 minin 1 VF Durchm. 0.53 cm



U/S-guided Interventions of Dialysis Access

PROS

- Good image quality
- Good outcomes
- Easy to learn
- No radiation
- No contrast agent
- Chear

CONS

- None
- Need 3 hands (occasionally)
- Learning curve
- Not always easy
 (anastomosis/curves)
- Peripheral, not central



