

**VEITH SYMPOSIUM**  
Connecting The Vascular Community

**UC San Diego HEALTH SYSTEM**

### What Are The Real Risk Factors For CEA, TFCAS And TCAR: Why Has CMS Gotten Their Risk Factors Wrong And How Have They Messed Up Their Reimbursement Incentives

**Mahmoud Malas, MD, MHS, RPVI, FACS**  
Chief Vascular and Endovascular Surgery  
Vice Chair of Surgery for Clinical Research  
Director of the Center for Learning and Excellence in Vascular and Endovascular Research (CLEVER)  
University of California San Diego  
Professor of Epidemiology  
Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health

**UC San Diego**

### Presenter Disclosure Information

- Principal Investigator
  - 1. CREST
  - 2. ACT1
  - 3. COMPELLA
  - 4. TFCAS
  - 5. RESEARCH
  - 6. SPRINT
  - 7. RESEARCH
  - 8. CREST
  - 9. CREST Comparison Study
  - 10. CREST II
- International Principal Investigator For "The ROADSTER Long Term Follow Up"
- SVS VQI TCAR Surveillance Project (TSP) Steering Committee
- Silk Road Medical, Educational Grant to support postdoctoral fellow

**UC San Diego**

### CMS High Risk Criteria For CAS

Anatomical Criteria	Medical Comorbidities
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Lesion at C2 or higher</li> <li>Lesion below clavicle</li> <li>Prior radical neck surgery or radiation</li> <li>Contralateral carotid occlusion</li> <li>Prior ipsilateral CEA</li> <li>Contralateral laryngeal nerve palsy</li> <li>Tracheostoma</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Age ≥80 yrs</li> <li>Class III/IV congestive heart failure</li> <li>Class II/IV angina pectoris</li> <li>Left main/≥2 vessel coronary disease</li> <li>Urgent (&lt;30 days) heart surgery</li> <li>LV ejection fraction =30%</li> <li>Recent (&lt;30 days) myocardial infarction</li> <li>Severe chronic lung disease</li> <li>Severe renal disease</li> </ul>

**UC San Diego**

**Journal of Vascular Surgery®**

### Age modifies the efficacy and safety of carotid artery revascularization procedures

Beerna Nejm, MBChB, MPH,\* Widan Alkhalwaj, MD,\* Hanaa Dakour-Aridi, MD,\* Satinderjit Locham, MD,\* Philip Goodney, MD, MS,\* and Mahmoud B. Malas, MD, MHS, FACS,†† Baltimore, Md, Lebanon, NH and San Diego, Calif

**N=89,853**

CEA N=67,081 (84.7%)      CAS N=13,772 (15.3%)

**UC San Diego**

### The impact of age on in-hospital outcomes after transcatheter artery revascularization, transfemoral carotid artery stenting, and carotid endarterectomy

Hanaa Dakour-Aridi<sup>1</sup>, Vikram S Kashyap<sup>2</sup>, Grace J Wang<sup>3</sup>, Jens Eldrup-Jorgensen<sup>4</sup>, Marc L Schermerhorn<sup>5</sup>, Mahmoud B Malas<sup>6</sup>

**Impact of Age on In-Hospital Outcomes After Transcatheter Artery Revascularization (TCAR), Transfemoral Carotid Artery Stenting (TFCAS) and Carotid Endarterectomy (CEA)**

Retrospective non-randomized study using the Vascular Quality Initiative database

**TCAR** N=3,122 **vs:** **CEA** N=41,630

No significant difference in outcomes except:

- TCAR was associated with significant decrease in cranial nerve injury

**TFCAS** N=10,381

In patients ≥ 60 years, TFCAS was associated with:

- 72% Reduction in stroke risk
- 65% Reduction in risk of stroke/death

**JVS** Journal of Vascular Surgery      **Dakour-Aridi et al. J Vasc Surg September 2020**  
Copyright © 2020 by the Society for Vascular Surgery

**UC San Diego**

### Restenosis

**JVS** Journal of Vascular Surgery      **Stroke**

Comparative Study: J Vasc Surg. 2017 Jan;65(1):1-11. doi: 10.1096/S1539-0198.07195. Equiv 2016 Oct 1.

Clinical Trial: Stroke. 2017 Nov;48(11):3086-3092. doi: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.117.016903. Equiv 2017 Oct 3.

**Stenting versus endarterectomy after prior ipsilateral carotid endarterectomy**

Ilabor Arhudaee<sup>1</sup>, Tamman Obeid<sup>1</sup>, Beerna Nejm<sup>1</sup>, Satinderjit Locham<sup>1</sup>, Caitlin W Hicks<sup>1</sup>, Mahmoud B Malas<sup>1</sup>

**Outcomes of Primary and Secondary Carotid Artery Stenting**

Ilabor J Arhudaee<sup>1</sup>, Muhammad Rizwan<sup>1</sup>, Beerna Nejm<sup>1</sup>, Mahmoud Malas<sup>1</sup>

J Vasc Surg. 2017 May;65(5):1418-1428. doi: 10.1016/j.jvas.2016.11.041. Equiv 2017 Feb 6.

**Endarterectomy versus stenting in patients with prior ipsilateral carotid artery stenting**

Ilabor J Arhudaee<sup>1</sup>, Beerna Nejm<sup>1</sup>, Bharath Charal<sup>1</sup>, Satinderjit Locham<sup>1</sup>, Tamman Obeid<sup>1</sup>, Caitlin W Hicks<sup>1</sup>, Mahmoud B Malas<sup>1</sup>

**UC San Diego**

### Outcomes of Carotid Revascularization in the Treatment of Restenosis After Prior Carotid Endarterectomy

Nadin Elaydy, Ganesh Ramakrishnan, Isaac Nasir, Sharvil Sheth, Mahmood B. Malas

#### Outcomes of Carotid Revascularization in the Treatment of Restenosis After Prior Carotid Endarterectomy (CEA)

Retrospective review of the Vascular Quality Initiative database - 4,425 patients with prior ipsilateral CEA undergoing carotid revascularization

Robo CEA (N=963) VS. Transcarotid artery revascularization (TCAR) (N=1,676) VS. Transfemoral carotid stenting (TFCAS) (N=1,780)

TCAR was associated with:

- Stroke: 54%
- MI: 68%
- Stroke/TIA: 58%
- Stroke/Death: 52%
- Stroke/Death/MI: 59%

63% Reduction in Stroke/TIA

Covering the carotid sheath

**UC San Diego**

**JVS** Journal of Vascular Surgery

### Carotid Artery Revascularization in Patients with Contralateral Carotid Artery Occlusion: Stent or Endarterectomy?

Basma Nagm, Amrinder Chahal, Sameen Mehmood, Muhammad Rezaan, Akshay Gupta, Satishdatt Locharn, James Lawrence, Arsh, Gaurav Singh, Mahmood B. Malas

Total patients 4,326 with CCO, CEA=3,274, CAS=1,052

Outcomes	Asymptomatic patients			Symptomatic patients		
	Adjusted Risk estimate* (95%CI)	P-value	Adjusted Risk estimate* (95% CI)	P-value		
30-day stroke	1.27 (0.54-2.98)	.580	2.90 (1.05-7.94)	.038		
30-day mortality	1.53 (0.62-3.78)	.366	6.10 (2.20-16.92)	.001		
30-day MACE	1.23 (0.70-2.17)	.475	2.05 (1.05-3.99)	.035		
2-year ipsilateral stroke†	1.47 (0.67-3.22)	.34	1.57 (0.60-4.11)	.366		
2-year stroke/death†	1.42 (1.08-1.86)	.011	1.94 (1.18-3.19)	.009		
2-year ipsilateral stroke post 30days†	3.49 (0.68-18.03)	.136	1.56 (0.31-7.79)	.586		
2-year stroke/death post 30days†	1.36 (0.94-1.98)	.105	1.38 (0.75-2.47)	.318		

**UC San Diego**

**JACS**

### Outcomes of Carotid Revascularization in Patients with Contralateral Carotid Artery Occlusion

Haniha Dakour-Ardi, MD - Nadin Elaydy, MD - Mahmood Malas, MD, MHS, FACS

#### Outcomes of Carotid Revascularization in Patients with Contralateral Carotid Artery Occlusion

Society for Vascular Surgery (SVS) Vascular Quality Initiative data (VQI) 2016-2020

4,893 patients undergoing carotid revascularization with contralateral occlusion

Transcarotid artery revascularization (TCAR) (N=1,164) VS. Carotid Endarterectomy (CEA) (N=2,527) VS. Transfemoral artery stenting (TFCAS) (N=1,182)

TCAR was associated with:

- 50% Reduction in risk of stroke, death, or myocardial infarction (MI)
- 70% Reduction in death
- 74% Reduction in stroke/death
- 62% Reduction in stroke/death/MI

Malas et al. J Am Coll Surg, May 2021

**UC San Diego**

**JVS** Journal of Vascular Surgery

### International Stroke Conference 2017

Nursing Symposium & Pre-Conference Sessions: Feb. 21, 2017  
Sessions: Feb. 22-24, 2017  
George R. Brown Convention Center | Houston, TX

CEA: N=26,900 (94.1%)  
CAS: N=1,681 (5.9%)

NR: N=28,581 (55.0%)  
HR: N=23,361 (45.0%)

30-day Stroke: OR 1.1  
30-day Stroke/Death: OR 1.1  
30-day Stroke/Death/MI: OR 1.1

**UC San Diego**

**JVS** Journal of Vascular Surgery

### Modality-specific outcomes of patients undergoing carotid revascularization in the setting of recent myocardial infarction

Presented at the Vascular Annual Meeting of the Society for Vascular Surgery, National Harbor, Maryland, June 14-17, 2023; Presented at the Thirty-eighth Annual Meeting of the Western Vascular Society, Kelowna, British Columbia, September 19-22, 2023.

Sabrina Strous BS, Marjan Moghaddam BS, Sino Zorrinton MD, MPH, MS, Daniel Willie-Permar MD, MPH, CFPH, Vignan Jagadeesh BS, Mahmood Malas MD, MHS, FRCV, FACS

CEA had lower odds of stroke/death compared with tFCAS but similar odds when compared with TCAR

**UC San Diego**

### Objective

With recent CMS approval for Transcarotid Artery Revascularization (TCAR) and Transfemoral Carotid Artery Stenting (TFCAS) in high and standard risk patients, we aim to assess and update the CMS guidelines using national data to identify high-risk indicators for each procedure compared to Carotid Endarterectomy (CEA)

**Percutaneous Transluminal Angioplasty (PTA) of the Carotid Artery Concurrent with Stenting**

PTAC-0000000

PTAC- Coverage and Analysis Group (CAG)

CMS/CDC: Percutaneous Transluminal Angioplasty (PTA) of the Carotid Artery Concurrent with Stenting of the Carotid Artery

Date: 10/15/2023

1. Proposed Decision

The Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) proposes that coverage of percutaneous transluminal angioplasty (PTA) of the carotid artery concurrent with stenting is reasonable and necessary with the placement of a PTA and drug eluting stent (DES) approved under an IDE approval or IDE approval or IDE approval.

A. Patients with asymptomatic carotid artery stenosis 50%-69%  
B. Patients with asymptomatic carotid artery stenosis 70%-99%

UC San Diego

APRIL 2024 ANNUAL MEETING GRAND HYATT WASHINGTON, D.C. 144<sup>th</sup> AMERICAN SURGICAL ASSOCIATION ANNALS OF SURGERY

ASA PAPER  
**A Contemporary Evaluation of the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services High-Risk Indicators for Carotid Endarterectomy**  
 Strauss, Sabrina BA<sup>1</sup>, Barodi, Batol BS<sup>2</sup>, Zarrintan, Sina MD<sup>3</sup>, Willie-Perreac, Danaki MD, MPH, CPH<sup>1</sup>, Vootakuru, Nishita BS<sup>5</sup>, Malas, Mahmoud MD, MHS, RPVI, FACS<sup>2</sup>

• Dataset: VQI (2016-2023)

BY THE NUMBERS  
 674 PROCEEDERS 1,000 POSTER SESSIONS 1,039,451 PRESENTATIONS

SVS | VQI in collaboration with ACCP

Inclusion Criteria  
 Anatomical High Risk Medical High Risk

Total 199,050  
 CEA 122,737 TCAR 50,095 TFCAS 26,218

UC San Diego

### Results

	TFCAS vs CEA		P-value	Alignment with current guidelines
	TFCAS	aOR (CI)		
Contralateral Occlusion	3.4%	1.34 (0.93-1.66)	0.15	↔
Prior CEA	2.2%	1.97 (1.59-1.78)	0.007	↔
Prior CAS	1.7%	0.68 (0.29-1.55)	0.4	↔
Radiation	1.0%	0.42 (0.23-0.74)	0.003	✓
Prior Neck Surgery	1.5%	0.79 (0.45-1.29)	0.3	↔
Moderate-Severe CHF (Class III, IV)	4.6%	1.44 (0.92-2.25)	0.11	↔
Severe COPD (on home O <sub>2</sub> )	2.7%	1.34 (0.76-2.05)	0.4	↔
Unstable Angina	3.8%	1.45 (0.73-2.93)	0.3	↔
Recent MI (<6 mo.)	6.7%	1.99 (1.24-3.19)	0.004	✗
Age ≥75 y.o.	3.2%	1.95 (1.30-2.84)	<.001	✗

UC San Diego

### Results: Stroke-death Outcomes for High-risk Patients

	TCAR vs CEA				TFCAS vs TCAR		
	CEA	TCAR	aOR (CI)	P-value	TFCAS	aOR (CI)	P-value
Contralateral Occlusion	2.8%	2.0%	0.73 (0.55-0.98)	0.035	3.4%	1.69 (1.24-2.32)	<.001
Prior CEA	1.6%	1.3%	0.82 (0.66-1.02)	0.075	2.2%	1.68 (1.29-2.18)	<.001
Prior CAS	2.5%	1.1%	0.45 (0.20-1.02)	0.056	1.7%	1.51 (0.97-2.36)	0.068
Radiation	2.4%	0.7%	0.30 (0.17-0.54)	<.001	1.0%	1.37 (0.72-2.61)	0.3
Prior Neck Surgery	2.0%	1.3%	0.63 (0.37-1.07)	0.089	1.5%	1.15 (0.57-2.33)	0.7
Moderate-Severe CHF (Class III, IV)	3.2%	2.3%	0.69 (0.44-1.09)	0.11	4.6%	2.08 (1.27-3.41)	0.004
Severe COPD (on home O <sub>2</sub> )	2.2%	2.5%	1.12 (0.73-1.72)	0.6	2.7%	1.11 (0.67-1.85)	0.7
Unstable Angina	2.6%	2.0%	0.75 (0.37-1.52)	0.4	3.8%	1.94 (0.91-4.17)	0.088
Recent MI (<6 mo.)	3.5%	3.9%	1.11 (0.70-1.77)	0.7	6.7%	1.79 (1.10-2.92)	0.019
Age ≥75 y.o.	1.7%	1.7%	1.00 (0.88-1.13)	>0.9	3.2%	1.96 (1.68-2.28)	<.001

UC San Diego

### Conclusion

- The definition of high-risk criteria and recent approval of TFCAS in all patients by CMS warrant reconsideration
- Prior surgery and radiation are appropriate high-risk criteria for CEA
- Older age and CLO are high-risk criteria for all three procedures
- TCAR had the lowest stroke/death rates for patients with CLO & prior radiation

UC San Diego

**Thank you!**